

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA  
9

10 Jose and Maria Chavez, ) No. CV 01-245-TUC-FRZ (JR)  
11 Plaintiffs, ) **ORDER**  
12 vs. )  
13 Mark Rios, et al., )  
14 Defendants. )  
15 \_\_\_\_\_ )  
16

17 Pending before the Court is a Report and Recommendation issued by United States  
18 Magistrate Judge Rateau that recommends granting Defendants' motion to amend Answer.  
19 A review of the record reflects that the parties have not filed any objections to the Report and  
20 Recommendation and the time to file objections has expired. As such, the Court will not  
21 consider any objections or new evidence.


22 The Court has reviewed the record and concludes that Magistrate Judge Rateau's  
23 recommendations are not clearly erroneous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72;  
24 *Johnson v. Zema Systems Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999); *Conley v. Crabtree*, 14  
25 F. Supp. 2d 1203, 1204 (D. Or. 1998).

26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

27 (1) Magistrate Judge Rateau's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 259) is accepted and  
28 adopted.

(2) Defendants' motion to amend Answer (Doc. 232) is granted.

DATED this 30<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2014.

  
**Frank R. Zapata**  
**Senior United States District Judge**